

What's in New York City's water?

Aquatic biodiversity in a mosaic of human impact

Write the author list here as a single paragraph. List authors by first name (optional middle initial or middle name) followed by last name. Separate multiple authors by commas. Use superscript numbers to link authors to specific affiliations, and symbols *, †, †† for author notes (such as corresponding author).

Eugenia Naro-Maciel^{1*} and Seth Wollney¹

Biology Department, College of Staten Island

Type of Manuscript: *CourseSource* Lesson Manuscript

Abstract

A growing body of literature supports using evidence-based teaching techniques (EBTs) to address STEM education failures, and outlines key strategies highlighting active teaching and a research framework. Although relatively low-cost public institutions play increasingly important roles in STEM education, there is insufficient knowledge of how well these strategies work in such settings. Representing the first steps in a long-term plan, we aim to immerse College of Staten Island undergraduates enrolled in General Biology, a gatekeeper course, in EBT-based classes focused on evolutionary and biodiversity research. In this lesson each experimental lab section of the General Biology sequence is incorporating research framed within a seminal scientific theory illuminating the unity and diversity of living systems. Students are exploring the Theory of Evolution by Natural Selection through ecological and genetic research on field-collected aquatic biodiversity samples. Our study is testing the following hypothesis: *In comparison to controls, significantly higher student learning gains and satisfaction will occur in courses taught using EBTs.* Outcomes are being evaluated through surveys and course observations. The data will be used to develop and widely disseminate a transformative and adaptable model to improve STEM education more broadly. The proposed study will inform the science learning community regarding active learning and research approaches in STEM education at an urban public university, with applications for other areas. The project will reach underrepresented groups, lead to a more effective and diverse workforce, address contemporary environmental conservation issues, and serve as the basis for grant applications.

Article Context:

Course

- Biochemistry
- Cell Biology
- Developmental Biology
- Genetics
- Microbiology
- Molecular Biology
- Introductory Biology
- Bioinformatics
- Evolution
- Ecology
- Anatomy-Physiology
- Neurobiology
- Plant Biology
- Science Process Skills

Course Level

- Introductory
- Upper Level
- Graduate
- High School
- Other

Class Type

- Lecture
- Lab
- Seminar
- Discussion Section
- On-line
- Other

Audience

- Life Sciences Major
- Non-Life Science Major
- Non-Traditional Student
- 2-year College
- 4-year College
- University
- Other

Class Size

- 1 – 50
- 51 – 100
- 101+

Assessment Type

- Assessment of individual student performance
- Assessment of student groups/teams
- Assignment
- Exam/quiz, in class
- Exam/quiz, take home
- Homework
- Answer clicker-type question(s)
- Answer essay question(s)
- Answer fill in the blank question(s)
- Answer multiple choice question(s)
- Answer short answer questions(s)
- Answer true/false question(s)
- Create a concept map
- Create a diagram, drawing, figure, etc.
- Create a website
- Create graph, table etc. to present data
- Design an experiment or research study
- Design/present a poster
- Give an oral presentation
- Informal in-class report
- Interpret data
- Order items (e.g. strip sequence)
- Participate in discussion
- Peer evaluation
- Post-test
- Pre-test
- Produce a video or video response
- Respond to metacognition/reflection prompt
- Self evaluation
- Solve problem(s)
- Written assignment: One minute paper
- Written assignment: Brochure
- Written assignment: Essay
- Written assignment: Figure and or figure legend
- Written assignment: Lab report
- Written assignment: Literature review
- Other

Lesson Length

- Portion of one class period
- One class period
- Multiple class periods
- One term (semester or quarter)
- One year
- Other

Key Scientific Process Skills

- Reading research papers
- Reviewing prior research
- Asking a question
- Formulating hypotheses

- Designing/conducting experiments
- Predicting outcomes
- Gathering data/making observations
- Analyzing data
- Interpreting results/data
- Displaying/modeling results/data
- Communicating results

Pedagogical Approaches

- Think-Pair-Share
- Brainstorming
- Case Study
- Clicker Question
- Collaborative Work
- One Minute Paper
- Reflective Writing
- Concept Maps
- Strip Sequence
- Computer Model
- Physical Model
- Interactive Lecture
- Pre/Post Questions
- Other

Bloom's Cognitive Level (based on learning objectives & assessments)

- Foundational: factual knowledge & comprehension
- Application & Analysis
- Synthesis/Evaluation/Creation
- Principles of how people learn
- Motivates student to learn material
- Focuses student on the material to be learned
- Develops supportive community of learners
- Leverages differences among learners
- Reveals prior knowledge
- Requires student to do the bulk of the work

Vision and Change Core Concepts

- **Evolution**
- Structure and Function
- Information flow, exchange and storage
- Pathways and transformations of energy and matter
- Systems

Vision and Change Core Competencies

- Ability to apply the process of science
- Ability to use quantitative reasoning
- Ability to use modeling and simulation
- Ability to tap into the interdisciplinary nature of science
- Ability to communicate and collaborate with other disciplines
- Ability to understand the relationship between science and society

Key Words: List 3 to 10 key words that are relevant for the Lesson (e.g. mitosis; meiosis; reproduction; egg; etc.)

1. biodiversity; DNA; morphology

Scientific Teaching Context

Learning Goal(s)

- *Students will be familiar with the scientific process, from making an observation through communicating their results.*
- *Students will appreciate local biodiversity.*

Learning Objective(s)

- *Students will be able to collect biodiversity samples in the field.*
- *Students will be able to use keys, field guides and microscopy to identify these samples morphologically.*
- *Students will be able to sequence DNA from these samples.*
- *Student will be able to analyze and present the data.*

1 Introduction

2 The introduction should provide the origin and rationale for the design of the Lesson and provide enough
3 background information to allow the reader to evaluate the Lesson without referring to extensive outside
4 material. For complex topics, a Science Behind the Lesson article may be simultaneously submitted with the
5 Lesson, so that potential instructors will have sufficient information to implement the Lesson. Do not forget to
6 reference all appropriate sources relating to any part of the Lesson.

7
8 The need to improve science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education in the United States is
9 widely recognized (1–8). Better programs would engage, retain, and train more students, including from
10 underserved communities, allowing them to meet changing and unfilled market demands and achieve professional
11 aspirations. One area sorely needing improvement is undergraduate biology education, given the rapid changes both
12 in the field and in student body composition. Traditional large lecture classes or outdated laboratory experiences
13 focused on rote memorization of entrenched, disconnected, and outdated concepts fail to adequately prepare many
14 biology students for the complex, multidisciplinary, and technological demands of the competitive and evolving
15 modern world. Many of our increasingly economically and ethnically diverse students are not being updated with
16 respect to novel research and issues, or provided with the cross-disciplinary and critical thinking skills required to
17 handle complex 21st century demands. These range from processing massive amounts of data now available through
18 revolutions in genomics and bioinformatics, to keeping up with breakthroughs in neuroscience, to addressing
19 pressing environmental concerns and developing complex models of climate change (1–3).

20 To address the issue, the American Association for the Advancement of Science produced *Vision and*
21 *Change in Undergraduate Biology Education A Call to Action* (2), a unified expert strategy that supports

22 undergraduate research and other evidence-based teaching (EBT) techniques. EBT approaches are those shown
23 through research to improve learning gains (2, 9, 10). They include hands-on, inquiry-based, and student-active
24 methods that are grounded in the scientific process and build critical thinking skills, and other strategies outlined in
25 *Vision and Change*. With current economic trends and skyrocketing private education costs, and despite budget,
26 personnel, facilities, and other limitations, the importance of public institutions offering a low-cost education in this
27 regard is rapidly increasing.

28

29 **The City University of New York, College of Staten Island (CUNY, CSI).** CSI is the sole public higher
30 education provider in this New York City borough. The College offers associates, baccalaureate and master's
31 degrees, and in 2013 enrolled over 13,000 undergraduates. CSI is composed mostly of ethnically diverse, first-
32 generation college students working and/or receiving financial aid, and not yet acculturated to the demands of higher
33 education or STEM. As revealed by the Office of Institutional Research and Assessment, 52% of undergraduates are
34 in their family's first generation to attend college. Students' birth countries include 132 nations. Non-Caucasian
35 ethnicities comprise about 43.5% of the student body, with major ethnic groups of 13.6% African American, 16.4%
36 Hispanic/Latino, and 12.4% Asian/Pacific Islander leading to a US News and World Report ethnic diversity index of
37 0.54. Most (69.2%) of our full time undergraduates work and receive financial aid (~65% in-state; ~56% out-of-
38 state).

39 Undergraduate biology teaching at CSI has many strengths, but there are also definite opportunities for
40 improvement through alignment with *Vision and Change*. The yearlong introductory General Biology sequence
41 consists of a lecture and paired lab. At CSI all of the ~670 biology undergraduates are required to take General
42 Biology. With this diverse student body, which encompasses highly variable study habits and attitudes, comes the
43 significant instructional challenge of differentiated learning (11). To assess challenges facing students and faculty,
44 we carried out informal student surveys. Issues needing improvement that were commonly cited by students
45 included: that the lecture courses often contain too much information and details requiring memorization with no
46 cohesive organizing framework, that long PowerPoint lectures and outdated labs do not teach them much, and that
47 the lab and lectures seem disconnected.

48 A suite of experiences with EBT techniques have led CSI's Biology Department to recognize the
49 motivational power of research and active learning for addressing these issues and enhancing experiences of STEM
50 students. Evolution, the unifying idea that makes sense of biology (12), explains how the world's biota evolved over
51 millions of years and how this shapes today's ecosystems, creating a space to situate humanity within the natural
52 world. Our planet is facing a major biodiversity extinction crisis that is disrupting ecological and evolutionary
53 processes and significantly harming humans and wildlife alike. Evolution's deep-time framework provides a
54 context for understanding biodiversity and human impact on the environment. The framework of evolution gives
55 meaning to biodiversity as something beyond an array of disparate creatures by demonstrating that all of the eclectic
56 and varied forms of life share a common history. The power of evolution to position everyday life as part of the rest
57 of the living world brings out the ecological underpinnings of daily activities, which are often missed by students.
58 Like many other people around the world, CUNY students live in highly urbanized settings, where they are largely

59 disconnected from nature (13). This removal can, in itself, hinder understanding, appreciation, and stewardship of
60 biology and the environment. In this unique lesson we draw on our own expertise with evolution, biodiversity, and
61 conservation to design a program that, complemented by the ethnic and economic diversity of our urban student
62 body, may serve as a case study with applications for other institutions.

63

64 *Intended Audience*

65 **First-year students, biology majors.**

66 *Required Learning Time*

67 **Three three hour labs sessions.**

68 *Pre-requisite student knowledge*

69 **General Biology prerequisites such as Math and English.**

70 **Scientific Teaching Themes**

71 *Active Learning*

72 We will use EBT techniques to meet the objectives outlined above and improve STEM learning gains. These
73 include hands-on, real-world, inquiry-based, and student-active learning methods that incorporate cutting-
74 edge technology, are based on the scientific process, and build critical thinking skills (2, 14–19). In order to
75 make the research more meaningful to students and enhance their learning gains, we will place science in the
76 context of everyday concerns and select local research sites that students can visit (2, 13, 20, 21). Learning
77 gains will be assessed using validated surveys and course observations (22). The proposed objectives are
78 being achieved as follows:

79 *A. Immerse General Biology undergraduate students in EBT-based classes with biodiversity and*
80 *evolution research.* Each experimental lecture and lab section of the General Biology sequence
81 will incorporate a research theme framed within a seminal scientific theory illuminating the
82 unity and diversity of living systems. Students will explore the Theory of Evolution by Natural
83 Selection (23, 24) through ecological and genetic characterization of field-collected biodiversity
84 samples. Students will further be exposed to current research pertaining to additional core
85 content topics through CSI faculty presentations, science videos, and/or peer-reviewed
86 publications. Lab and lecture classes will be closely coordinated.

87 General Biology lab students will be introduced to biodiversity identification through short lectures,
88 discussions, and reading 2 peer-reviewed papers. Each lab section will visit a conservation biology field site,
89 participate in a field experience, take probe measurements, and collect environmental or individual samples
90 for DNA analysis. Working in small groups, each class will then carry out DNA extractions, after which
91 products will be sent out for professional sequencing. Students will then analyze the data in the context of

92 environmental measurements taken in the field (pH, temperature, etc.), and submit a poster for presentation
93 at the CSI Undergraduate Conference. The remaining lab classes, which involve biodiversity surveys, will be
94 grounded in the scientific process. They will also include microscope-based morphological identification of
95 samples collected in the field by the students. In each class, introductory material will be presented and
96 discussed, along with the Methods being used for that survey. Students will formulate and test hypotheses.
97 Results will be obtained, and data discussed.

98

99 *Assessment*

100 The formal evaluation procedures allow assessment of factors contributing to student success. Student evaluations
101 based on CURE (Classroom Undergraduate Research Experience) (18, 25) surveys will be used. These surveys were
102 designed and validated by Dr. Daniel Lopatto and colleagues to measure learning gains from undergraduate
103 research (18, 25–27). The CURE survey involves pre- and post-tests that evaluate learning gains before and after
104 undergraduate research experiences in the classroom. Sample questions regard plans for graduate education,
105 effects of research experiences on career plans, research experiences, skills gained, and reflections about the
106 scientific process. Additional evaluations will be used to compare experimental and control classes, including
107 course observations (adapted from (22)).

108

109 *Inclusive Teaching*

110 This project will integrate research and education to address STEM failures in reaching underrepresented groups.
111 Our activities will lead to a more effective and diverse workforce by producing more qualified students. CSI and
112 CUNY have long traditions of engaging students from underrepresented groups, such as women, minorities or
113 persons with disabilities, in education. Many of our students will participate in the research in their classes.
114 Examp^ls will also be given of diverse scientists. Education, training and dissemination will also be advanced
115 through presentations at professional conferences. The research will be disseminated through websites, research
116 networks, and peer-reviewed publications.

117

118 References

- 119 1. **Rotherham AJ.** 2011. The Next Great Resource Shortage: U.S. Scientists. Time Mag.
- 120 2. **AAAS.** 2011. Vision and Change in Undergraduate Biology Education: A Call to Action. AAAS,
121 Washington DC.
- 122 3. **Bialek W, Botstein D.** 2004. Introductory science and mathematics education for 21st-
123 century biologists. Science (80-) **303**:788–790.
- 124 4. **President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology.** 2012. Engage to Excel:
125 Producing One Million Additional College Graduates with Degrees in Science, Technology,
126 Engineering, and Mathematics. Washington DC.
- 127 5. **National Science and Technology Council Committee on STEM Education.** 2013. Federal
128 Science, Technology, Engineering, And Mathematics (STEM) Education 5-Year Strategic Plan.
129 Washington DC.
- 130 6. **National Research Council.** 2010. Rising Above the Gathering Storm, Revisited: Rapidly
131 Approaching Category 5. National Academies Press, Washington DC.
- 132 7. **National Research Council.** 2011. Expanding Underrepresented Minority Participation :
133 America’s Science and Technology Talent at the CrossroadsPublic Policy. National Academies
134 Press, Washington DC.
- 135 8. **National Research Council.** 2012. Discipline-based Education Research: Understanding and
136 Improving Learning in Undergraduate Science and Engineering. National Academies Press,
137 Washington DC.
- 138 9. **Davies P.** 1999. What is Evidence-Based Education? Br J Educ Stud **47**:108–121.
- 139 10. **Mayer RE.** 2011. Applying the science of learning, 1st ed. Pearson, New Jersey.
- 140 11. **Tanner K, Allen D.** 2004. Approaches to biology teaching and learning: learning styles and
141 the problem of instructional selection--engaging all students in science courses. Cell Biol
142 Educ **3**:197–201.

- 143 12. **Dobzhansky T.** 1973. Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *Am*
144 *Biol Teach* **35**:125–129.
- 145 13. **Miller JR.** 2005. Biodiversity conservation and the extinction of experience. *Trends Ecol*
146 *Evol.*
- 147 14. **Buonaccorsi V, Peterson M, Lamendella G, Newman J, Trun N, Tobin T, Aguilar A, Hunt**
148 **A, Praul C, Grove D, Roney J, Roberts W.** 2014. Vision and change through the genome
149 consortium for active teaching using next-generation sequencing (GCAT-SEEK). *CBE Life Sci*
150 *Educ* **13**:1–2.
- 151 15. **Woodin T, Carter VC, Fletcher L.** 2010. Vision and Change in Biology Undergraduate
152 Education, A Call for Action - Initial Responses. *CBE Life Sci Educ* **9**:71–73.
- 153 16. **Dolan EL.** 2012. Next Steps for Vision and Change: Moving from Setting the Vision to Change.
154 *CBE Life Sci Educ* **11**:201–202.
- 155 17. **Wei C a, Woodin T.** 2011. Undergraduate research experiences in biology: alternatives to
156 the apprenticeship model. *CBE Life Sci Educ* **10**:123–131.
- 157 18. **Harrison M, Dunbar D, Ratmansky L, Boyd K, Lopatto D.** 2011. Classroom-Based Science
158 Research at the Introductory Level: Changes in Career Choices and Attitude. *CBE Life Sci*
159 *Educ* **10**:279–286.
- 160 19. **Udovic D.** 2002. Workshop biology: demonstrating the effectiveness of active learning in an
161 introductory biology course. *Bioscience* **52**:272–281.
- 162 20. **Zeidler DL, Sadler TD, Simmons ML, Howes E V.** 2005. Beyond STS: A research-based
163 framework for socioscientific issues education. *Sci Educ* **89**:357–377.
- 164 21. **Gough N.** 2002. Thinking/acting locally/globally: western science and environmental
165 education in a global economy. *Int J Sci Educ* **24**:1217–1237.
- 166 22. **Ebert-May D, Derting TL, Hodder J, Momsen JL, Long TM, Jardeleza SE.** 2011. What We
167 Say Is Not What We Do: Effective Evaluation of Faculty Professional Development Programs.
168 *Bioscience* **61**:550–558.

- 169 23. **Darwin C, Wallace AR.** 1858. On the Tendency of Species to form Varieties; and on the
170 Perpetuation of Varieties and Species by Natural Means of Selection. *Zool J Linn Soc* **3**:46–50.
- 171 24. **Darwin C.** 1859. On the Origin of Species. John Murray, London.
- 172 25. **Shaffer CD, Alvarez CJ, Bednarski AE, Dunbar D, Goodman AL, Reinke C, Rosenwald AG,**
173 **Wolyniak MJ, Bailey C, Barnard D, Bazinet C, Beach DL, Bedard JEJ, Bhalla S,**
174 **Braverman J, Burg M, Chandrasekaran V, Chung H-M, Clase K, Dejong RJ, Diangelo JR,**
175 **Du C, Eckdahl TT, Eisler H, Emerson J a, Frary A, Frohlich D, Gosser Y, Govind S,**
176 **Haberman A, Hark AT, Hauser C, Hoogewerf A, Hoopes LLM, Howell CE, Johnson D,**
177 **Jones CJ, Kadlec L, Kaehler M, Silver Key SC, Kleinschmit A, Kokan NP, Kopp O, Kuleck**
178 **G, Leatherman J, Lopilato J, Mackinnon C, Martinez-Cruzado JC, McNeil G, Mel S, Mistry**
179 **H, Nagengast A, Overvoorde P, Paetkau DW, Parrish S, Peterson CN, Preuss M, Reed LK,**
180 **Revie D, Robic S, Roecklein-Canfield J, Rubin MR, Saville K, Schroeder S, Sharif K, Shaw**
181 **M, Skuse G, Smith CD, Smith M a, Smith ST, Spana E, Spratt M, Sreenivasan A, Stamm J,**
182 **Szauter P, Thompson JS, Wawersik M, Youngblom J, Zhou L, Mardis ER, Buhler J, Leung**
183 **W, Lopatto D, Elgin SCR.** 2014. A course-based research experience: how benefits change
184 with increased investment in instructional time. *CBE Life Sci Educ* **13**:111–30.
- 185 26. **Lopatto D.** 2007. Undergraduate Research Experiences Support Science. *CBE Life Sci Educ*
186 **6**:297–306.
- 187 27. **Lopatto D.** 2004. Survey of Undergraduate Research Experiences (SURE): first findings. *Cell*
188 *Biol Educ* **3**:270–277.

189